

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

---

WAMEL ALLAH,

Plaintiff,

**Hon. Hugh B. Scott**

v.

04CV868A

**Order**

J. SLAWATYCKI,  
C. YANEKEEN,

Defendants.

---

Plaintiff in this action has applied to the Court for appointment of counsel pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e). (Docket Nos. 4, 8.) This case has been referred to the undersigned for pretrial matters (Docket No. 7, Apr. 25, 2005).

Under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e), the Court may appoint counsel to assist indigent litigants. Sears, Roebuck & Co. v. Charles W. Sears Real Estate, Inc., 865 F.2d 22, 23 (2d Cir. 1988). Assignment of counsel in this matter is clearly within the judge's discretion. See In re Martin-Trigona, 737 F.2d 1254 (2d Cir. 1986). The factors to be considered in deciding whether or not to assign counsel are set forth by the Second Circuit in Hodge v. Police Officers, 802 F.2d 58 (2d Cir. 1986). Counsel may be appointed in cases filed by indigent plaintiffs where it appears that such counsel will provide substantial assistance in developing plaintiff's arguments, the appointment will otherwise serve the interests of justice, and where the litigant has made "a threshold showing of some likelihood of merit." Cooper v. A. Sargenti Co., 877 F.2d 170, 174 (2d Cir. 1989).

The Court has reviewed the facts presented herein in light of the factors required by law. Based on this review, plaintiff's motion for appointment of counsel is **DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE AT THIS TIME.**

It remains the plaintiff's responsibility to retain his own attorney or to press forward with his lawsuit pro se. 28 U.S.C. § 1654.

So Ordered.

s/HBS  
Hon. Hugh B. Scott  
United States Magistrate Judge

Dated: Buffalo, New York  
April 29, 2005